

Auditing and Corporate Governance PYQ 2018

Q1(a) Define auditing. Explain briefly the principles of auditing.

Ans. Auditing is the systematic process of examining and evaluating an organization's financial records, transactions, operations, and internal controls to provide an independent and objective assessment of their accuracy, reliability, and compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and standards. Auditing serves the purpose of providing assurance to stakeholders, such as investors, creditors, and regulators, regarding the credibility and integrity of an organization's financial information.

The principles of auditing, often referred to as the Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (GAAS), provide guidance to auditors in performing their duties. The main principles of auditing are:

Integrity: Auditors must maintain their integrity and objectivity in conducting audits. They should be independent and free from any conflicts of interest that may compromise their ability to provide an unbiased opinion.

Objectivity: Auditors must exercise professional judgment in an unbiased manner and avoid any bias or undue influence that may impact their ability to form an objective opinion on the financial information being audited.

Professional Competence and Due Care: Auditors must possess the necessary knowledge, skills, and experience to perform audits competently. They must exercise due care in planning, performing, and reporting on their audit procedures.

Confidentiality: Auditors must maintain the confidentiality of the information obtained during the audit process. They should not disclose any confidential or sensitive information to unauthorized parties unless required by law or professional standards.

Independence: Auditors must be independent in both fact and appearance. They should be free from any relationships or influences that may impair their objectivity, including financial, business, and personal relationships with the audited entity or its management.

Evidence-based Approach: Auditors must obtain sufficient and appropriate audit evidence to support their conclusions and opinions. They should use professional skepticism and critically evaluate the reliability and relevance of the evidence obtained.

Reporting: Auditors must communicate the results of their audit in a clear and concise manner. They should provide a written report that includes their opinion on the financial statements, along with any findings or exceptions identified during the audit process.

By adhering to these principles, auditors aim to provide reliable and credible assurance on the accuracy and fairness of an organization's financial information, which enhances the confidence of stakeholders in the organization's financial reporting.

Q1 b Discuss the civil and criminal liabilities of auditors under Companies Act, 2013.

Ans. Under the Companies Act, 2013 (the "Act"), auditors are subject to civil and criminal liabilities for their actions or omissions in relation to the audit of financial statements of companies. The Act sets forth various provisions that outline the civil and criminal liabilities of auditors in India.

Civil Liabilities of Auditors:

Liability for Negligence or Misstatement: If an auditor fails to exercise due diligence, skill, and care in conducting an audit and as a result, there is a misstatement or omission in the financial statements, the auditor may be held liable for damages suffered by the company, its shareholders, or any other person who has relied on the financial statements.

Liability for Fraud: If an auditor is found to have been involved in any fraudulent activity or collusion with the company's management in the preparation of false financial statements, the auditor may be held liable for damages suffered by the company or any other affected party.

Liability for Breach of Professional Duty: If an auditor fails to comply with the professional duties, responsibilities, and standards as prescribed by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI), the regulatory body for auditors in India, the auditor may face civil liabilities for breach of professional duty.

Criminal Liabilities of Auditors:

Imprisonment for Fraud: If an auditor is found to have knowingly or willingly participated in or connived with the company's management in perpetrating a fraud, the auditor may be subject to imprisonment for a term of not less than six months, which may extend to ten years, and a fine of not less than the amount involved in the fraud, or three times the amount of the auditor's fees, whichever is higher.

Penalty for Non-compliance with Audit Standards: If an auditor fails to comply with the auditing standards prescribed by the ICAI, the auditor may be subject to a penalty of up to INR 25 lakhs or three times the amount of the auditor's fees, whichever is higher.

Liability for False Statements: If an auditor makes a false statement or deliberately omits material information in any report, balance sheet, or prospectus, the auditor may be subject to imprisonment for a term of up to three years and a fine of up to INR 5 lakhs.

It's important to note that the Act also provides certain defenses for auditors, such as reasonable reliance on representations made by the company's management, and auditors may not be held liable if they can prove that they acted honestly and diligently in the performance of their duties.

It's crucial for auditors to exercise due care, professional skepticism, and comply with the auditing standards and professional ethics to minimize the risk of civil and criminal liabilities under the Companies Act, 2013.

OR

Q1 a What is the auditor's duty with regard to detection of frauds? Cite legal cases in support of your answer

Ans. As per the Companies Act, 2013 and auditing standards, auditors have a duty to exercise reasonable care and professional skepticism in detecting frauds during the course of an audit. Auditors are required to plan and perform their audit procedures in a manner that is designed to obtain reasonable assurance about the detection of material misstatements, including those resulting from fraud or error, in the financial statements.

Some of the key duties of auditors with regard to the detection of frauds include:

Obtaining Sufficient Audit Evidence: Auditors are required to obtain sufficient and appropriate audit evidence through various audit procedures, such as substantive testing, analytical procedures, and inquiry, to corroborate the information provided by the management and to detect any indications of potential fraud.

Assessing Fraud Risks: Auditors are required to assess the risks of fraud, including the risk of management override of controls, and consider the nature, extent, and timing of their audit procedures in response to the assessed risks.

Testing Controls: Auditors are required to test and evaluate the design and effectiveness of the company's internal controls, including those related to the prevention and detection of fraud, and to report any material weaknesses or deficiencies identified.

Performing Audit Procedures with Professional Skepticism: Auditors are required to exercise professional skepticism, which involves a questioning mindset and critical evaluation of audit evidence, including the consideration of contrary or inconsistent evidence that may indicate the presence of fraud.

Reporting Suspected Frauds: If auditors detect any indications of fraud during the course of their audit, they are required to report it to the appropriate level of management and, in certain cases, to the Audit Committee or Board of Directors of the company. In case of suspected fraud involving senior management or the Board of Directors, auditors may also have a duty to report it to regulatory authorities, as required by law.

Failure to fulfill these duties may result in legal consequences for auditors. There have been legal cases in India where auditors were held liable for their failure to detect frauds during the audit. For example:

Satyam Computer Services Ltd. Fraud Case: In the Satyam fraud case, auditors failed to detect a massive financial fraud perpetrated by the management of Satyam Computer Services Ltd. In 2018, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) imposed penalties on the audit firm PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) and two of its partners for their failure to detect the fraud and for their lapses in the audit.

Nirav Modi-PNB Fraud Case: In the Punjab National Bank (PNB) fraud case, auditors of PNB failed to detect a multi-billion-dollar fraud perpetrated by the company's employees in collusion with Nirav Modi, a jeweler. The auditors were held liable for their failure to exercise due diligence and detect the fraud.

These cases highlight the importance of auditors' duty to exercise reasonable care, professional skepticism, and detect frauds during the course of their audits, and the legal consequences that may arise from their failure to do so.

Q1 b An auditor's report may be unqualified, qualified Or adverse. Discuss.

Ans. An auditor's report is a formal written communication issued by an auditor after completing the audit of a company's financial statements. The auditor's report provides an opinion on the fairness of the financial statements and the adequacy of the company's internal controls over financial reporting. Based on the findings of the audit, an auditor's report may be unqualified, qualified, or adverse, which are different types of opinions that auditors can express.

Unqualified Opinion: An unqualified opinion, also known as a clean opinion, is the most favorable type of auditor's report. It indicates that the auditor has found the financial statements to be presented fairly in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework (such as Generally Accepted Accounting Principles or International Financial Reporting Standards), and that the company's internal controls over financial reporting are effective. An unqualified opinion provides a high level of assurance to users of the financial statements that the financial statements are reliable.

Qualified Opinion: A qualified opinion is issued when the auditor concludes that the overall financial statements are presented fairly, but there is a limitation on the scope of the audit or a departure from the applicable financial reporting framework. This means that the auditor has found one or more material misstatements in the financial statements, but the misstatements are not pervasive and do not materially affect the overall fairness of the financial statements. The auditor's report will identify the specific scope limitation or departure from the financial reporting framework that led to the qualification.

Adverse Opinion: An adverse opinion is the most severe type of auditor's report. It is issued when the auditor concludes that the financial statements are not presented fairly in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework, and the misstatements are both material and pervasive, meaning they materially affect the overall fairness of the financial statements. An adverse opinion indicates that the financial statements are not reliable and should not be relied upon by users.

It's important to note that a qualified or adverse opinion indicates that there are issues with the financial statements and may raise concerns about the company's financial position and performance. Users of the financial statements, such as investors, creditors, and other stakeholders, may interpret a qualified or adverse opinion as a warning sign and may need to exercise caution when relying on the financial statements for decision-making purposes.

Auditors are required to follow auditing standards and guidelines when forming their opinions, and the type of opinion issued in the auditor's report depends on the nature and extent of the findings from the audit. Auditors have a professional duty to express their opinions independently and objectively, and to communicate their findings clearly and transparently in the auditor's report.

Q2 a The whistleblowing overrides loyalty to colleagues and to the organisation in order to serve the public interest. Discuss.

Ans. Whistleblowing is the act of reporting concerns or wrongdoing, such as fraud, corruption, or unethical behavior, by an individual within an organization to an appropriate authority, usually with the aim of protecting the public interest. Whistleblowers play a crucial role in uncovering and exposing wrongdoing that may harm the public, and their actions are often guided by the principle of serving the public interest, which can sometimes override loyalty to colleagues and the organization.

The concept of loyalty in the context of whistleblowing is often debated. Some argue that employees owe a duty of loyalty to their colleagues and organization, and should not disclose any internal information that could potentially harm their colleagues or the organization's reputation. However, others argue that whistleblowing, when done in the public interest, is a higher form of loyalty that prioritizes the well-being of the general public over loyalty to a specific organization or colleagues.

There are several reasons why whistleblowing may override loyalty to colleagues and the organization in order to serve the public interest:

Ethical Responsibility: Whistleblowers often witness or become aware of unethical behavior or illegal activities within their organization that can harm the public interest. In such cases, they may feel a moral obligation to report the wrongdoing, even if it means going against their loyalty to colleagues or the organization. Whistleblowers may believe that it is their ethical responsibility to speak up and expose the wrongdoing to prevent further harm to the public.

Legal Obligations: Whistleblowers may be protected by laws and regulations that require them to report certain types of misconduct, such as fraud or safety violations. In many countries, there are specific legal protections for whistleblowers, such as anti-retaliation provisions, which shield whistleblowers from adverse actions by their employers in retaliation for their disclosures. These legal obligations may override loyalty to colleagues or the organization, as whistleblowers are legally bound to report certain misconduct in the public interest.

Public Interest: Whistleblowers often act in the public interest, with the aim of protecting the greater good. They may uncover wrongdoing that poses risks to public health, safety, or welfare, and feel a duty to disclose this information to appropriate authorities to prevent harm to the public. In such cases, the public interest may outweigh loyalty to colleagues or the organization, as the potential harm to the public may be considered more significant.

Organizational Integrity: Whistleblowers may view themselves as custodians of the integrity and reputation of the organization they work for. By reporting misconduct, they may seek to uphold the values and principles of the organization, even if it means disclosing wrongdoing that could harm the organization's reputation in the short term. Whistleblowers may believe that exposing and addressing misconduct is essential for the long-term health and sustainability of the organization, and that loyalty to the organization requires taking action to correct the wrongdoing.

It's important to note that whistleblowing is a complex and nuanced issue, and different situations may require careful consideration of various factors, including legal obligations, ethical responsibilities, and the nature of the wrongdoing. Whistleblowers may face potential risks and challenges, such as retaliation from their employer or legal repercussions, and it is crucial for them to seek appropriate legal advice and protection when considering blowing the whistle.

In conclusion, while loyalty to colleagues and the organization is an important value in the workplace, whistleblowing can override such loyalty when it comes to serving the public interest.

Whistleblowers may feel compelled to report wrongdoing that harms the public, even if it means going against their loyalty to colleagues or the organization. Ethical responsibilities, legal obligations, consideration of the public interest, and upholding organizational integrity are some of the factors that may influence whistleblowers to prioritize the public interest over loyalty to colleagues and the organization.

Q2 b What do you mean by shareholder activism? How can shareholders activism be promoted?

Ans. Shareholder activism refers to the active involvement of shareholders in influencing the decisions and actions of a company. Shareholders who engage in shareholder activism typically use their ownership stake in the company to advocate for changes in corporate governance, strategic direction, social or environmental policies, executive compensation, and other matters that they believe can enhance shareholder value or align the company's actions with their values and interests.

Shareholder activism can take various forms, including submitting shareholder proposals for consideration at annual general meetings, engaging in dialogue with company management and board of directors, participating in proxy voting, initiating legal actions, and using media or public campaigns to raise awareness and advocate for change.

Promoting shareholder activism can be achieved through several means:

Building Shareholder Awareness: Educating shareholders about their rights, responsibilities, and the impact they can have on a company's decisions and actions is crucial. Shareholders need to be aware of the potential benefits of shareholder activism and the tools available to them, such as proxy voting, shareholder proposals, and engagement with company management and board of directors.

Strengthening Shareholder Rights: Companies can promote shareholder activism by enhancing shareholder rights, such as providing shareholders with opportunities to nominate and elect directors, making it easier for shareholders to submit proposals for consideration at general meetings, and allowing shareholders to cast informed votes on important matters.

Engaging in Dialogue: Companies should be open to engaging in meaningful dialogue with shareholders and considering their concerns and suggestions. This can include regular meetings with shareholders, responding to shareholder inquiries, providing access to relevant information, and considering shareholder proposals in good faith.

Establishing Effective Corporate Governance: Strong corporate governance practices, including independent and diverse boards of directors, transparent decision-making processes, and appropriate checks and balances, can foster an environment where shareholders feel that their voices are heard and their concerns are addressed.

Collaboration with Other Shareholders: Shareholders can collaborate and form coalitions to amplify their voices and increase their influence. Working together, shareholders can pool their resources and expertise to advocate for changes in corporate policies and practices.

Engaging with External Stakeholders: Shareholders can leverage external stakeholders, such as regulatory bodies, industry associations, advocacy groups, and the media, to raise awareness about the issues they are advocating for and put pressure on the company to take action.

Legal and Regulatory Support: Companies can promote shareholder activism by complying with relevant laws and regulations that protect shareholder rights, such as providing timely and accurate information to shareholders, avoiding actions that restrict shareholders' ability to engage in activism, and refraining from retaliatory measures against shareholders who engage in legitimate activism.

It's important to note that shareholder activism should be conducted responsibly and in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Shareholders should always consider the long-term interests of the company and its stakeholders, and engage in constructive dialogue and collaboration with the company to drive positive change.

OR

Q2 a Briefly explain the corporate governance reforms in India.

Ans. Corporate governance reforms in India have undergone significant changes in recent years to enhance transparency, accountability, and investor protection. Some of the key corporate governance reforms in India include:

Companies Act, 2013: The Companies Act, 2013 is a comprehensive legislation that has introduced several corporate governance reforms in India. It mandates the appointment of independent directors on the boards of listed and certain categories of public companies, imposes stricter norms for related party transactions, requires mandatory rotation of auditors, and enhances disclosure requirements, among other measures.

SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015: The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) introduced the Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements (LODR) regulations in 2015, which apply to listed companies and aim to improve corporate governance practices. The LODR regulations mandate, among other things, the appointment of independent directors, the constitution of board committees, the disclosure of board evaluation and risk management practices, and stricter norms for related party transactions.

Corporate Governance Code for Listed Companies: In 2017, SEBI issued a Corporate Governance Code for listed companies, which provides voluntary guidelines for good corporate governance practices. The code covers various aspects such as the role and responsibilities of the board of directors, the composition and functioning of board committees, risk management, disclosure and transparency, and stakeholder engagement.

Stewardship Code for Institutional Investors: SEBI introduced a Stewardship Code for institutional investors in 2020, which aims to improve their engagement with investee companies and promote good corporate governance practices. The code sets out principles for institutional investors to actively monitor and engage with companies on matters related to strategy, performance, risk management, and corporate governance, and exercise their voting rights responsibly.

Whistleblower Protection Framework: The Companies Act, 2013 mandates the establishment of a whistleblower mechanism, requiring companies to have a vigil mechanism for employees and directors to report concerns about unethical behavior or misconduct. The framework protects whistleblowers from retaliation and provides for investigation and appropriate action on complaints received.

Enhanced Disclosure Requirements: The Companies Act, 2013 and SEBI LODR regulations have increased the disclosure requirements for companies, including financial statements, related party transactions, board composition, board evaluation, risk management practices, and corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives. These enhanced disclosure requirements aim to improve transparency and accountability.

Board Evaluation: The Companies Act, 2013 and SEBI LODR regulations mandate the annual evaluation of the performance of the board of directors, its committees, and individual directors. Board evaluation helps in assessing the effectiveness of the board, identifying areas for improvement, and promoting good governance practices.

These are some of the major corporate governance reforms in India that have been introduced in recent years to promote transparency, accountability, and investor protection in companies. These reforms aim to enhance the corporate governance framework and restore investor confidence in the Indian capital markets.

Q2 b What are the different benefits of adopting ethics in business?

Ans. Adopting ethics in business brings various benefits to an organization, including:

Enhanced Reputation: Ethical business practices can help build and maintain a positive reputation for an organization. Customers, investors, employees, and other stakeholders are more likely to trust and support a company that demonstrates a commitment to ethical behavior. A good reputation can lead to increased customer loyalty, investor confidence, and stakeholder goodwill.

Increased Customer Trust: Ethical business practices can foster customer trust and loyalty. Customers are more likely to prefer and support companies that are known for their ethical conduct, such as fair business practices, honest marketing, and responsible product sourcing. Trustworthy relationships with customers can result in repeat business, positive word-of-mouth marketing, and a strong customer base.

Improved Employee Morale and Productivity: Employees are more likely to be motivated and committed to their work in an ethical work environment. When employees see that their organization is committed to ethical practices, it can boost their morale, job satisfaction, and productivity. Ethical organizations tend to have a positive work culture, fair employment practices, and opportunities for employee growth and development.

Attraction and Retention of Talent: Companies that are known for their ethical practices are more likely to attract and retain top talent. Employees are increasingly seeking employment opportunities with organizations that align with their personal values and ethics. Ethical practices, such as fair compensation, equal opportunities, and a safe work environment, can help an organization attract and retain skilled and diverse employees.

Reduced Legal and Reputational Risks: Adopting ethical business practices can help mitigate legal and reputational risks. Unethical conduct, such as fraud, corruption, and non-compliance with laws and regulations, can lead to legal actions, fines, penalties, and damage to the organization's reputation. By adhering to ethical standards, an organization can minimize such risks and avoid potential legal and reputational consequences.

Enhanced Stakeholder Relationships: Ethical business practices can help build and maintain positive relationships with stakeholders, including investors, suppliers, partners, and communities. Strong stakeholder relationships are essential for the long-term success of an organization. Ethical practices, such as transparent communication, fair dealings, and responsible environmental and social practices, can foster trust and collaboration with stakeholders.

Long-term Sustainability: Ethical business practices are essential for the long-term sustainability of an organization. By acting ethically, organizations can ensure that their operations are aligned with social, environmental, and economic sustainability principles. This can help an organization build resilience, adapt to changing market dynamics, and create long-term value for all stakeholders.

In summary, adopting ethics in business brings numerous benefits, including enhanced reputation, increased customer trust, improved employee morale and productivity, attraction and retention of talent, reduced legal and reputational risks, enhanced stakeholder relationships, and long-term sustainability. Ethical business practices can contribute to the overall success and sustainability of an organization in the long run.

Q3 a. Explain Indian model of CG versus German model

The Indian model of corporate governance and the German model of corporate governance are two different approaches to governing and managing corporations, with some notable differences.

Ownership Structure: In the Indian model, corporations are often characterized by concentrated ownership, with a dominant shareholder or a group of shareholders holding a significant stake in the company. This can result in a lack of separation of ownership and management, with potential conflicts of interest. On the other hand, the German model follows a more stakeholder-oriented approach, with a two-tier board structure consisting of a management board (the "Vorstand") and a supervisory board (the "Aufsichtsrat"). The supervisory board, which includes employee representatives, oversees the management board, ensuring a balance of power and representation of different stakeholders.

Role of Shareholders: In the Indian model, the focus is often on protecting the interests of controlling shareholders, whereas the German model emphasizes the interests of a broader range of stakeholders, including shareholders, employees, customers, suppliers, and the society at large. The German model places a higher emphasis on co-determination, with employee representatives having a significant role in the decision-making process at the supervisory board level.

Board Structure and Composition: In the Indian model, boards of directors are typically dominated by executive directors, who are often part of the management team, with fewer independent directors. Independent directors are expected to provide oversight and represent the interests of minority shareholders. In the German model, the supervisory board includes employee representatives, who have a say in decision-making and ensure the interests of employees are considered.

Disclosure and Transparency: The Indian model has been criticized for its relatively low level of disclosure and transparency, with corporate disclosures often being limited to statutory requirements. The German model, on the other hand, places a higher emphasis on transparency and

requires extensive disclosures on financial and non-financial matters, including corporate governance practices.

Legal Framework: The legal framework for corporate governance in India is primarily governed by the Companies Act, 2013, and the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) regulations. In Germany, corporate governance is primarily guided by the German Stock Corporation Act (Aktiengesetz) and the German Corporate Governance Code, which provides recommendations and best practices for companies.

In summary, while the Indian model of corporate governance tends to be more shareholder-centric with concentrated ownership, the German model emphasizes stakeholder orientation, co-determination, and transparency. Both models have their strengths and weaknesses and are shaped by their respective cultural, legal, and economic contexts. It's important to note that corporate governance practices are constantly evolving and can vary across different countries and regions.

Q3 b Explain any two ethical theories.

Ans. Here are explanations of two commonly studied ethical theories:

Utilitarianism: Utilitarianism is a consequentialist ethical theory that focuses on the outcomes or consequences of actions. According to utilitarianism, the right action is the one that produces the greatest amount of overall happiness or well-being for the greatest number of people. In other words, the ethical value of an action is determined by its utility or usefulness in promoting the greatest good for the greatest number of individuals.

For example, if a company has to decide whether to invest in a project that could create jobs and boost the local economy but may also have negative environmental impacts, a utilitarian approach would involve evaluating the overall consequences of the project in terms of its impact on job creation, economic development, and environmental sustainability. The decision would be based on maximizing the overall well-being of the affected stakeholders.

Deontology: Deontology is a non-consequentialist ethical theory that emphasizes the inherent rightness or wrongness of actions based on certain moral principles or duties. According to deontology, there are objective ethical principles or rules that should guide human behavior, regardless of the consequences.

For example, the principle of "do not lie" is a deontological principle that holds that lying is inherently wrong, regardless of the situation or consequences. So, even if lying might result in a positive outcome, such as avoiding harm or gaining an advantage, a deontological approach would prohibit lying based on the principle of honesty and integrity.

It's important to note that ethical theories are complex and often debated, with various nuances and interpretations. Different philosophers and scholars may have different interpretations of these theories and how they should be applied in specific ethical dilemmas.

OR

Q3 a Explain Nomination Committee and Remuneration Committee.

Ans. Nomination Committee:

A Nomination Committee, also known as a Nominating or Governance Committee, is a committee of the board of directors of a company that is responsible for overseeing the process of identifying, selecting, and recommending candidates for board appointments. The primary role of the Nomination Committee is to ensure that the board of directors is composed of competent and diverse members who can effectively discharge their fiduciary duties and provide strategic guidance to the company.

The specific responsibilities of a Nomination Committee may vary depending on the company's bylaws, regulations, and corporate governance practices. However, some common functions of a Nomination Committee include:

Identifying and evaluating potential candidates for board positions, including considering their qualifications, skills, experience, diversity, and independence.

Reviewing the composition, size, and structure of the board and making recommendations for changes, if needed, to ensure an appropriate balance of skills, expertise, and independence.

Developing and implementing policies and procedures for the selection, appointment, and orientation of new directors, as well as for the evaluation and reappointment of existing directors.

Assessing the performance of the board as a whole and its individual members, including the chairman or chairwoman of the board, and recommending improvements, if necessary.

Ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements and best practices related to board composition, director qualifications, and diversity.

Remuneration Committee:

A Remuneration Committee, also known as a Compensation or Compensation Committee, is a committee of the board of directors of a company that is responsible for overseeing the company's remuneration policies, practices, and decisions, including the compensation of the company's executives and directors. The Remuneration Committee plays a crucial role in ensuring that the company's remuneration practices are fair, transparent, and aligned with the company's business objectives and stakeholder interests.

The specific responsibilities of a Remuneration Committee may vary depending on the company's bylaws, regulations, and corporate governance practices. However, some common functions of a Remuneration Committee include:

Developing and reviewing the company's remuneration policies, including determining the principles, components, and structures of executive and director compensation, such as base salary, bonuses, stock options, and other incentives.

Evaluating and approving the remuneration packages of the company's executives, including the CEO and other top management, based on performance, market benchmarks, and internal equity considerations.

Ensuring that the company's remuneration practices are aligned with the company's long-term performance and shareholder interests, and do not encourage excessive risk-taking or unethical behavior.

Reviewing and disclosing information about the company's remuneration practices, including the level and structure of executive and director compensation, in the company's annual reports and other public disclosures.

Overseeing the administration and implementation of the company's remuneration policies and plans, including monitoring compliance with regulatory requirements and best practices related to executive compensation.

It's important to note that the Nomination Committee and Remuneration Committee are key committees of the board of directors that play a crucial role in ensuring effective corporate governance and safeguarding the interests of the company and its stakeholders. Their responsibilities are critical in maintaining transparency, fairness, and accountability in the board's decision-making processes related to board appointments and executive compensation.

Q3 b Major components and benefits of CG.

Ans. Major Components of Corporate Governance:

Corporate governance comprises various components that work together to ensure effective oversight, accountability, and transparency in the management and operations of a company. Some of the major components of corporate governance include:

Board of Directors: The board of directors is responsible for providing strategic guidance, overseeing management, and representing the interests of shareholders. It plays a key role in decision-making, setting corporate policies, and ensuring that the company is managed in the best interests of its shareholders.

Shareholders: Shareholders, as the owners of the company, have the right to participate in key decisions, elect directors, and hold the management accountable for their actions. Shareholders' engagement and active participation in corporate governance are crucial to ensure that the company is managed in their best interests.

Management: The management team, led by the CEO and other executives, is responsible for the day-to-day operations of the company and implementing the board's strategic decisions. Effective management is crucial for the success and sustainability of the company.

Ethics and Corporate Culture: A strong ethical culture that promotes integrity, transparency, and accountability is an important component of corporate governance. It sets the tone from the top and influences the behavior and actions of employees throughout the organization.

Risk Management: Effective risk management practices are critical for identifying, assessing, and mitigating risks that may affect the company's performance, reputation, and sustainability. A robust risk management framework is an essential component of corporate governance.

Benefits of Corporate Governance:

Enhanced Transparency: Corporate governance promotes transparency by ensuring that relevant information about the company's operations, financial performance, and governance practices are disclosed to shareholders, investors, and other stakeholders. This enhances the trust and confidence of stakeholders in the company.

Accountability and Oversight: Corporate governance provides a system of checks and balances that holds the board of directors, management, and other stakeholders accountable for their actions and decisions. This helps to prevent abuse of power, fraud, and unethical behavior.

Improved Decision-Making: Effective corporate governance ensures that decision-making processes are transparent, inclusive, and aligned with the company's long-term goals and stakeholder interests. This leads to more informed and better decisions, minimizing the risk of poor decision-making.

Access to Capital: Sound corporate governance practices can improve the company's access to capital by enhancing investor confidence and attracting more investment. This can result in lower borrowing costs, improved credit ratings, and increased investment opportunities.

Protection of Stakeholder Interests: Corporate governance safeguards the interests of various stakeholders, including shareholders, employees, customers, suppliers, and the broader community. It helps to ensure that their rights are respected, and their concerns are taken into account in decision-making processes.

Sustainable Growth: Corporate governance promotes sustainable growth by fostering long-term thinking, responsible business practices, and sound risk management. This helps the company to achieve stability, resilience, and sustainability in the face of changing business environments and stakeholder expectations.

Overall, effective corporate governance is crucial for the success, sustainability, and reputation of a company. It helps to create a conducive environment for responsible management, ethical behavior, and stakeholder engagement, leading to long-term value creation for shareholders and other stakeholders.

Q4 a Highlight the common governance flaws in most of the corporate scams.

Ans. Corporate scams often arise due to governance flaws and failures within companies. Some of the common governance flaws that have been observed in many corporate scams include:

Lack of Board Oversight: Corporate scams often involve a failure of the board of directors to provide effective oversight of the company's management. This can occur due to a lack of independent directors, inadequate board composition, or insufficient board meetings, resulting in weak checks and balances on management actions.

Weak Internal Controls: Weak internal controls, including inadequate accounting systems, lack of segregation of duties, and ineffective risk management practices, can create opportunities for fraud and financial misconduct. Inadequate internal controls can enable executives and employees to manipulate financial results, misappropriate funds, and engage in other unethical activities.

Ethical Lapses: Corporate scams often involve ethical lapses such as unethical behavior, conflicts of interest, and compromised integrity among executives and employees. This can result in actions that prioritize short-term gains or personal interests over the long-term interests of the company and its stakeholders.

Lack of Transparency and Disclosure: Failure to provide transparent and accurate information to shareholders, investors, and other stakeholders can create an environment of distrust and enable corporate scams. Companies that lack transparency in their financial reporting, governance practices,

and decision-making processes may conceal risks, misrepresent facts, or manipulate information to mislead stakeholders.

Inadequate Risk Management: Weak risk management practices, including failure to identify, assess, and mitigate risks, can expose companies to vulnerabilities that can be exploited by fraudsters. Inadequate risk management can result in failures to address financial, operational, regulatory, reputational, and other risks, increasing the likelihood of corporate scams.

Inadequate Whistleblower Mechanisms: Companies that lack effective mechanisms for employees and other stakeholders to report concerns, grievances, or suspicions of fraud or misconduct can create an environment where scams can flourish. Inadequate whistleblower mechanisms can discourage reporting or result in retaliation against whistleblowers, leading to the concealment of fraudulent activities.

Lack of Accountability and Consequences: Failure to hold executives, directors, and employees accountable for their actions and impose consequences for unethical or fraudulent behavior can contribute to a culture of impunity and enable corporate scams. Companies that lack effective mechanisms for detecting, investigating, and punishing fraud and misconduct may fail to deter such behavior, leading to repeated incidents of corporate scams.

It is important for companies to be vigilant in addressing these common governance flaws and implementing robust corporate governance practices to prevent corporate scams and ensure ethical, transparent, and responsible conduct in their operations. This includes strengthening board oversight, enhancing internal controls, promoting ethical behavior and transparency, implementing effective risk management practices, establishing robust whistleblower mechanisms, and holding individuals accountable for their actions. Regular monitoring, audits, and external assessments can also help identify and address governance flaws and vulnerabilities that may expose companies to the risk of corporate scams.

Q4 b) OECD principles of CG.

Ans. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) has developed a set of principles for corporate governance that provide guidelines for countries to enhance the effectiveness of corporate governance frameworks. These principles, commonly referred to as the "OECD Principles of Corporate Governance," were first published in 1999 and have since been revised and updated.

The OECD Principles of Corporate Governance consist of the following key principles:

Ensuring the basis for an effective corporate governance framework: This principle emphasizes the need for clear and effective corporate governance frameworks, including laws, regulations, and institutional arrangements, that provide a basis for sound corporate governance practices.

The rights of shareholders and key ownership functions: This principle focuses on protecting and facilitating the exercise of shareholders' rights, including the right to vote and participate in key corporate decisions, as well as the need for equitable treatment of shareholders.

Institutional investors, stock markets, and other intermediaries: This principle recognizes the important role of institutional investors, stock markets, and other intermediaries in promoting good

corporate governance, including the need for transparency and accountability in their own operations.

The role of stakeholders in corporate governance: This principle emphasizes the need to recognize and protect the rights and interests of all stakeholders, including employees, customers, suppliers, and creditors, and promote their active engagement in corporate governance.

Disclosure and transparency: This principle emphasizes the importance of timely, accurate, and comprehensive disclosure of material information to shareholders and stakeholders, as well as the need for transparency in corporate governance processes and decisions.

The responsibilities of the board: This principle highlights the central role of the board of directors in corporate governance, including the need for effective board composition, responsibilities, and processes, as well as the importance of board independence, competence, and accountability.

The equitable treatment of shareholders: This principle focuses on the importance of treating all shareholders fairly and protecting their rights, including minority shareholders, and avoiding abusive actions by controlling shareholders or management.

The role of disclosure in corporate governance and risk management: This principle highlights the need for companies to disclose their corporate governance practices, including their risk management processes, and provide accurate and comprehensive information to shareholders and stakeholders.

The responsibilities of the board: This principle emphasizes the need for effective risk management practices, including the identification, assessment, and mitigation of risks, and the need for companies to have appropriate systems and processes in place to manage risks effectively.

The role of auditors in corporate governance: This principle recognizes the important role of auditors in enhancing corporate governance, including their responsibility to provide independent and reliable assurance on the accuracy and reliability of financial statements.

The OECD Principles of Corporate Governance serve as a global reference for countries and companies to establish and maintain effective corporate governance frameworks, which promote transparency, accountability, and responsible corporate behavior. Adhering to these principles can help enhance trust and confidence in the corporate sector, protect the interests of shareholders and stakeholders, and promote sustainable business practices.

OR

Q4 a) Modus operandi used in Satyam Scam

Ans. The Satyam Scam, also known as India's Enron, was one of the largest corporate frauds in India's history. It involved financial irregularities and accounting fraud at Satyam Computer Services Limited, a prominent IT services company in India, which came to light in 2009. The modus operandi used in the Satyam Scam included the following:

Falsification of financial statements: Satyam's management manipulated the financial statements to show inflated revenues, profits, and cash balances. They created fictitious invoices, forged bank statements, and manipulated financial data to inflate the company's financial performance and deceive investors, shareholders, and other stakeholders.

Fabrication of bank balances: Satyam's management created fake bank statements, showing inflated cash balances that did not exist in reality. These fabricated bank balances were used to mislead investors, lenders, and other stakeholders about the company's financial health and liquidity position.

Window dressing of financial ratios: Satyam's management engaged in window dressing of financial ratios by manipulating key financial metrics such as revenue growth, operating margins, and return on equity (ROE) to create an illusion of healthy financial performance. These manipulated ratios were used to attract investments and project a positive image of the company.

Insider trading: Satyam's management engaged in insider trading, which involved trading of the company's shares based on non-public information about the financial fraud. They sold their shares at inflated prices before the scam was exposed, making illegal gains at the expense of other shareholders.

Lack of board oversight: Satyam's board of directors failed to exercise proper oversight and due diligence, allowing the fraud to go undetected for a long time. The board failed to independently verify the financial statements, question the management's actions, and fulfill their fiduciary duties towards shareholders.

Collusion among employees: The fraud involved collusion among various employees within Satyam, including senior management, finance team, auditors, and other employees who were involved in fabricating financial data and perpetrating the fraud. This collusion allowed the fraud to be carried out without detection for a significant period.

The Satyam Scam exposed significant corporate governance and ethical lapses, including lack of transparency, accountability, and integrity in the company's operations. It highlighted the importance of robust corporate governance practices, effective internal controls, and independent oversight to prevent and detect fraudulent activities in corporations. The scam led to regulatory reforms and stricter enforcement of corporate governance norms in India, aiming to enhance transparency, accountability, and investor protection in the corporate sector.

Q4 b) CSR provisions under the Companies Act, 2013.

Ans. The Companies Act, 2013 in India has made it mandatory for certain companies to undertake Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities as part of their corporate governance and sustainability initiatives. The CSR provisions under the Companies Act, 2013 include the following:

Applicability: As per Section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013, companies meeting certain criteria are required to comply with the CSR provisions. The provisions are applicable to companies with:

- a. Net worth of Rs. 500 crores or more, or
- b. Turnover of Rs. 1,000 crores or more, or

c. Net profit of Rs. 5 crores or more during the immediately preceding financial year.

CSR expenditure: Companies meeting the above criteria are required to spend at least 2% of their average net profits made during the three immediately preceding financial years on CSR activities. This expenditure is calculated based on the company's net profit before tax as per the financial statements prepared under the Companies Act.

CSR activities: The Act specifies a broad list of CSR activities that companies can undertake, including but not limited to:

- a. Eradicating hunger, poverty, and malnutrition
- b. Promoting education
- c. Health and sanitation
- d. Gender equality and women empowerment
- e. Environmental sustainability
- f. Social business projects
- g. Rural development
- h. Contribution to the Prime Minister's National Relief Fund or any other fund set up by the Central Government for socio-economic development
- i. Disaster management, and others.

CSR Committee: Companies meeting the CSR criteria are required to constitute a CSR Committee of their board, which is responsible for formulating and recommending CSR policies, monitoring CSR activities, and reporting on CSR performance in the company's annual report.

CSR reporting: Companies are required to disclose details of their CSR activities in their annual report, including the CSR policy, CSR initiatives undertaken, amount spent on CSR, and the impact of CSR activities.

CSR implementation: Companies can implement CSR activities directly or through a registered trust, society, or Section 8 company. They can also collaborate with other companies for CSR initiatives.

Non-compliance: Non-compliance with CSR provisions can result in penalties, including fines and imprisonment for company officials.

The CSR provisions under the Companies Act, 2013 aim to encourage companies to be socially responsible and contribute to the well-being of society through sustainable and inclusive business practices. It promotes corporate accountability, transparency, and stakeholder engagement in addressing social and environmental issues, and has led to increased focus on CSR initiatives by Indian companies.

Q5 a. Outline the requirements of CG as laid down by the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulation, 2015.

The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) has laid down the requirements for corporate governance (CG) for listed companies in India through the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015. Some of the key requirements are:

Composition and functions of the Board of Directors: The regulations specify the composition and functions of the Board of Directors of listed companies, including the requirement for a minimum of 50% of the Board to consist of independent directors, and the separation of the roles of Chairman and CEO.

Role and responsibilities of directors: The regulations outline the role and responsibilities of directors, including their fiduciary duties, code of conduct, and disclosure requirements. It also mandates the appointment of a woman director on the Board for certain categories of listed companies.

Audit Committee: The regulations mandate the constitution of an Audit Committee consisting of a majority of independent directors, with specific functions related to financial reporting, audit, and risk management.

Related Party Transactions (RPTs): The regulations require listed companies to obtain prior approval from the Audit Committee and shareholders for material RPTs, and ensure that such transactions are conducted at arm's length and in the best interest of the company.

Disclosures and transparency: The regulations mandate various disclosures, including financial statements, annual reports, quarterly financial results, and other material events. It also requires the company to have a formal code of conduct for directors and senior management, and to disclose any deviations from the code.

Whistleblower mechanism: The regulations require listed companies to establish a mechanism for directors and employees to report concerns about unethical behavior, fraud, or violation of the company's code of conduct, and protect whistleblowers from any adverse action.

Risk management: The regulations require companies to have a formal risk management policy and disclose the same in their annual report, addressing risks associated with the business, industry, and operations of the company.

Stakeholder engagement: The regulations emphasize the importance of stakeholder engagement, including shareholders, investors, employees, customers, suppliers, and the local community, and mandate the disclosure of the company's policies on stakeholder engagement.

Training of directors: The regulations mandate the training of independent directors to enhance their knowledge and skills to effectively discharge their duties and responsibilities.

Compliance: The regulations require listed companies to comply with various CG requirements and disclose non-compliance along with reasons and the action taken.

The SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 aim to improve CG practices in listed companies in India, enhance transparency, protect shareholder rights, and ensure corporate accountability. Compliance with these regulations is mandatory for listed companies in India.

Q5 b CSR and Corporate Sustainability.

Ans. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Corporate Sustainability are related concepts that reflect a company's commitment to addressing social, environmental, and ethical concerns in its operations and decision-making. However, they have distinct differences.

CSR refers to the voluntary initiatives undertaken by a company to integrate social and environmental concerns into its business operations and interactions with stakeholders. It involves the company's efforts to contribute positively to society, beyond its legal and economic obligations, by taking actions that are beneficial to the environment, employees, communities, and other stakeholders. CSR activities may include philanthropy, community development, employee volunteering, environmental conservation, and ethical sourcing, among others.

Corporate Sustainability, on the other hand, refers to the long-term approach that companies adopt to create economic value while taking into consideration the environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors. It involves integrating sustainability principles into a company's strategy, operations, and decision-making processes to ensure that the company's activities are environmentally responsible, socially inclusive, and economically viable in the long run. Corporate Sustainability goes beyond CSR by considering the broader impact of a company's operations on the planet, people, and profits, and striving for sustainable and responsible business practices.

While CSR focuses on specific initiatives and activities, Corporate Sustainability is a more comprehensive and strategic approach to conducting business in a sustainable manner. Corporate Sustainability recognizes that addressing social and environmental concerns is not just a philanthropic gesture but also makes good business sense, as it can contribute to long-term value creation, risk management, and reputation enhancement.

In recent years, there has been a growing emphasis on integrating CSR and Corporate Sustainability into the business strategies of companies worldwide. Many companies are recognizing the need to go beyond profit-making and align their operations with societal and environmental goals, and are adopting sustainable and responsible business practices to create a positive impact on various stakeholders and contribute to a more sustainable future.

OR

Q5 a Qualifications and appointment of statutory auditor.

Ans. Qualifications and appointment of statutory auditors are important aspects of corporate governance and auditing practices. In many jurisdictions, including India, the qualifications and appointment of statutory auditors are governed by applicable laws, regulations, and professional standards. Here's an overview:

Qualifications of Statutory Auditors:

Professional Competence: Statutory auditors are required to possess the necessary professional competence, knowledge, and skills to perform their duties. They should be qualified chartered accountants or certified public accountants, as per the local requirements.

Independence: Statutory auditors should be independent of the company they are auditing, both in fact and appearance. They should be free from any conflicts of interest that may compromise their objectivity and independence in expressing their opinion on the company's financial statements.

Experience: Statutory auditors are typically required to have a certain level of experience in auditing and accounting, as specified by the relevant laws or regulations. This may include a minimum number of years of experience in auditing or specific industry knowledge.

Appointment of Statutory Auditors:

Appointment Process: The appointment of statutory auditors is usually done by the shareholders of the company, based on the recommendations of the board of directors or the audit committee. In some cases, the appointment may also be made by a regulatory authority or a government body.

Rotation and Term Limits: In certain jurisdictions, there may be requirements for the rotation of auditors and term limits on their appointment. This is aimed at ensuring auditor independence and reducing the risks of undue familiarity or complacency.

Compliance with Professional Standards: Statutory auditors should comply with the relevant professional standards, such as the International Standards on Auditing (ISA) or the Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (GAAS), as applicable in their jurisdiction.

Reporting Obligations: Statutory auditors are required to report their findings and opinions on the company's financial statements in the form of an auditor's report. The auditor's report should be in compliance with the relevant laws, regulations, and professional standards, and should provide a true and fair view of the company's financial position and performance.

It's important to note that the qualifications and appointment of statutory auditors may vary depending on the legal and regulatory requirements of each jurisdiction. Companies should ensure compliance with the applicable laws, regulations, and professional standards when appointing statutory auditors to ensure the integrity and quality of the audit process.

Q5 b Sarbanes-Oxley Act, 2002.

Ans. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX) is a federal law enacted in the United States in response to a series of high-profile corporate accounting scandals that shook investor confidence in the early 2000s, including Enron, WorldCom, and Tyco International. SOX was enacted with the aim of improving corporate governance, enhancing financial transparency, and strengthening accountability of publicly traded companies and their auditors. Here are some key features of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act:

Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB): SOX established the PCAOB as an independent oversight board for auditors of publicly traded companies. The PCAOB is responsible for setting auditing and quality control standards for auditors, conducting inspections and investigations of audit firms, and enforcing compliance with these standards.

Auditor Independence: SOX imposes strict rules on the independence of auditors, including prohibitions on providing certain non-audit services to audit clients, such as consulting, valuation, and legal services, to prevent conflicts of interest that could compromise the objectivity and independence of auditors.

Internal Controls and Financial Reporting: SOX requires companies to establish and maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting to ensure the accuracy, completeness, and reliability of their financial statements. Companies are also required to include an assessment of the effectiveness of their internal controls in their annual reports.

CEO and CFO Certification: SOX requires the CEO and CFO of publicly traded companies to certify the accuracy and completeness of their company's financial statements, and to report any changes in internal controls or other significant events that may affect the financial statements.

Whistleblower Protection: SOX provides protection for whistleblowers who report potential violations of securities laws or other fraudulent activities, prohibiting retaliation by employers against employees who report such misconduct.

Enhanced Financial Disclosures: SOX mandates enhanced financial disclosures, including disclosures of off-balance-sheet transactions, related-party transactions, and changes in accounting principles or estimates, to improve the transparency and accuracy of financial reporting.

Criminal Penalties: SOX imposes severe criminal penalties, including fines, imprisonment, and disgorgement of profits, for individuals and companies found guilty of fraudulent financial reporting, insider trading, or other securities violations.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act has had a significant impact on corporate governance practices and financial reporting in the United States and has influenced similar reforms in other countries around the world. It has helped to restore investor confidence, promote transparency, and strengthen accountability in the corporate sector. However, it has also resulted in increased compliance costs for companies and auditors, and has been subject to criticism and debate on various aspects since its enactment.