Indian Government and Politics PYQ 2017

SET-B

Q1 Discuss the various approaches to the study of the nature of Indian State.

Ans. The study of the nature of the Indian state involves analyzing its political, social, and institutional characteristics. Scholars and researchers have adopted various approaches to understand the Indian state, taking into account historical, theoretical, and empirical perspectives. Here are some key approaches to the study of the nature of the Indian state:

Historical Approach: This approach focuses on tracing the evolution of the Indian state from its ancient and medieval roots to its contemporary form. It examines how various historical events, such as the Mauryan Empire, Mughal rule, and British colonialism, have shaped the nature of the state in terms of governance, administrative structures, and power relations.

Constitutional Approach: This approach emphasizes the analysis of the Indian state through its constitutional framework. It examines the provisions of the Indian Constitution, including its preamble, fundamental rights, and directive principles of state policy, to understand the nature and role of the state in upholding democracy, promoting social justice, and protecting individual rights.

Political Economy Approach: This approach focuses on the interplay between politics and economics in understanding the nature of the Indian state. It examines how economic policies, such as state-led development, liberalization, and globalization, have influenced the state's role in economic planning, resource allocation, and social welfare. It also analyzes the relationships between the state, market forces, and various interest groups.

Sociological Approach: This approach emphasizes the study of the Indian state in relation to society and social structures. It examines the nature of state-society interactions, power dynamics, and social cleavages based on factors such as caste, religion, gender, and class. It explores how social identities and structures influence the functioning of the state and the distribution of resources and opportunities.

Comparative Approach: This approach involves comparing the Indian state with other states or systems to identify similarities, differences, and patterns. It allows for a broader understanding of the Indian state by examining its features in relation to other countries, considering factors such as political institutions, governance models, democratization processes, and policy outcomes.

Postcolonial Approach: This approach focuses on the study of the Indian state in the context of its colonial past and the legacies it has inherited. It examines how colonial rule has influenced the state's institutional structures, legal frameworks, and power dynamics. It also explores issues related to nationalism, identity, and the challenges of postcolonial governance.

Governance Approach: This approach emphasizes the analysis of governance processes, institutions, and actors in the Indian state. It examines the functioning of government institutions, public administration, policy-making processes, and the role of non-state actors, such as civil society organizations and the media. It focuses on the effectiveness, transparency, and accountability of the state in delivering public services and promoting development.

These approaches are not mutually exclusive, and scholars often combine multiple perspectives to gain a comprehensive understanding of the nature of the Indian state. By employing these various approaches, researchers can shed light on different aspects of the Indian state and contribute to the ongoing scholarly discourse on its nature and functioning.

Q2. Critically examine the basic structure doctrine of the Indian Constitution.

Ans. The Basic Structure Doctrine is a judicial principle that has evolved through judicial interpretation in India, primarily through the landmark case of Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala (1973). This doctrine holds that there are certain core or fundamental features of the Constitution that cannot be amended by the Parliament, even if it follows the prescribed constitutional amendment procedure. These features form the basic structure of the Constitution and provide the framework for its functioning.

Critics of the Basic Structure Doctrine argue the following points:

Judicial Activism and Overreach: One criticism of the Basic Structure Doctrine is that it empowers the judiciary to have the final say on constitutional amendments, which some see as an encroachment on the powers of the elected representatives. Critics argue that it gives excessive power to the judiciary and undermines the principle of separation of powers.

Lack of Clarity and Consistency: The Basic Structure Doctrine has been subject to criticism for its lack of clear and consistent guidelines on what constitutes the basic structure of the Constitution. The judiciary has not provided a definitive list of features that fall within the purview of the basic structure, leading to uncertainty and inconsistent application of the doctrine. This lack of clarity opens the possibility of judicial subjectivity and discretionary interpretations.

Undermining Democratic Process: Opponents of the Basic Structure Doctrine argue that it restricts the democratic process by limiting the power of elected representatives to make changes to the Constitution. They argue that constitutional amendments should be left to the discretion of the elected representatives, who are accountable to the people through the democratic process.

Lack of Accountability: Critics contend that the judiciary, as an unelected body, should not have the authority to determine the basic structure of the Constitution. They argue that this places significant power in the hands of the judiciary without appropriate mechanisms for accountability. Decisions regarding the basic structure should be made through the democratic process, where the representatives of the people have the final say.

Erosion of Legislative Sovereignty: Critics argue that the Basic Structure Doctrine undermines the principle of legislative sovereignty, which is a fundamental feature of parliamentary democracy. It is seen as a restraint on the ability of the Parliament to bring about necessary amendments to the Constitution in response to changing circumstances or public opinion.

Despite these criticisms, proponents of the Basic Structure Doctrine argue that it serves as a vital safeguard against any arbitrary or undemocratic changes to the Constitution. They contend that the doctrine is necessary to preserve the core principles, values, and rights enshrined in the Constitution. It acts as a check on the potential abuse of power by the executive and legislative branches.

In conclusion, the Basic Structure Doctrine of the Indian Constitution has been both praised and criticized. While critics argue that it undermines democratic principles and judicial activism, proponents argue that it safeguards the fundamental principles and values of the Constitution. The ongoing debate surrounding the Basic Structure Doctrine reflects the tension between judicial oversight and the authority of elected representatives in the constitutional amendment process.

Q3. Discuss the role, power and functions of the President of India.

Ans. The President of India holds a significant position in the constitutional framework of India and performs various roles, exercises powers, and carries out functions. Let's discuss the role, power, and functions of the President of India:

Head of State: The President is the ceremonial head of state and represents the nation in both domestic and international affairs. The President performs ceremonial duties such as conferring awards, appointing ambassadors, receiving foreign dignitaries, and delivering speeches on important occasions.

Executive Powers: The President exercises executive powers and is the formal head of the executive branch. Some of the key executive powers include the appointment of the Prime Minister, Council of Ministers, Governors of states, and other high-ranking officials. The President also issues executive orders and can grant pardons, reprieves, and remissions of punishment.

Legislative Powers: The President has certain legislative powers. The President can summon and prorogue sessions of Parliament, address both Houses of Parliament, and give assent to bills passed by Parliament. In certain cases, the President can also return a bill for reconsideration by the Houses.

Appointment of Judges: The President plays a crucial role in the appointment of judges to the Supreme Court and High Courts. The President appoints judges based on the recommendations of the Chief Justice of India and the collegium of judges. This power ensures the independence of the judiciary.

Emergency Powers: The President has the power to declare three types of emergencies: National Emergency, State Emergency (President's Rule), and Financial Emergency. During a National Emergency, the President can assume additional powers, and certain fundamental rights can be suspended. The President can also dismiss state governments and assume direct control during a State Emergency.

Diplomatic Powers: The President represents India in international relations. The President receives credentials from foreign ambassadors and sends Indian ambassadors to other countries. The President also plays a crucial role in the formulation and implementation of India's foreign policy.

Symbolic Functions: The President performs various symbolic functions that uphold the unity and integrity of the nation. The President promotes national integration, cultural harmony, and social justice. The President also presents awards, such as the Bharat Ratna, Padma Awards, and Gallantry Awards, to recognize exceptional contributions and achievements.

It is important to note that while the President holds these powers and performs these functions, the exercise of most powers is bound by the advice and aid of the Council of Ministers headed by

the Prime Minister. The President's role is largely ceremonial and representative, with limited discretionary powers.

Overall, the President of India occupies a crucial constitutional position and performs diverse roles, ranging from ceremonial duties to exercising executive, legislative, and diplomatic powers. The President acts as a guardian of the Constitution and plays a pivotal role in maintaining the functioning and stability of the Indian political system.

Q4. critically examine the role of new middle class in democratic politics of India.

Ans. The emergence of the new middle class in India has had a significant impact on democratic politics in the country. However, the role and influence of the new middle class in Indian politics can be examined from both positive and critical perspectives. Let's examine both sides:

Positive Role:

Political Participation: The new middle class has actively participated in the democratic process, including voting, joining political parties, and contesting elections. Their involvement has led to increased political awareness, engagement, and representation of their interests.

Policy Influence: The new middle class has been instrumental in shaping policy agendas and priorities. Their demands for better governance, economic reforms, and inclusive development have influenced political parties and policymakers. They have pushed for policies that promote entrepreneurship, job creation, and infrastructure development.

Accountability and Anti-Corruption: The new middle class has played a vital role in demanding transparency, accountability, and anti-corruption measures. Their activism and support for civil society movements, such as the Lokpal movement and anti-corruption protests, have put pressure on the government to enact reforms and strengthen institutions.

Social Change and Progress: The new middle class has been at the forefront of social change movements, advocating for gender equality, LGBTQ+ rights, and social justice. Their active engagement has helped challenge regressive norms and promote more inclusive and progressive values in society.

Critical Perspective:

Elitism and Disconnect: Critics argue that the new middle class, despite its aspirations for change, often remains disconnected from the larger socio-economic challenges faced by the majority of the population. This class is accused of focusing on its own interests rather than addressing the issues of poverty, inequality, and marginalized communities.

Urban Bias: The new middle class is predominantly urban-centric, which has led to a neglect of rural areas and agrarian issues. Critics argue that their influence on policy decisions often overlooks the needs and concerns of rural populations, perpetuating an urban-rural divide.

Limited Representation: While the new middle class has made significant strides in political participation, it is still not fully representative of the diverse Indian population. Marginalized communities, lower-income groups, and rural populations often have limited access to political power and face barriers in engaging with the democratic process.

Populism and Identity Politics: Critics argue that the new middle class, like any other section of society, can be swayed by populist politics and identity-based mobilization. This can lead to the prioritization of narrow interests and exclusionary tendencies, undermining the ideals of inclusivity and pluralism.

In conclusion, the role of the new middle class in democratic politics in India is complex and multifaceted. While their active political participation and demands for good governance have positively influenced policy and accountability, criticisms exist regarding their limited representation, urban bias, and potential for exclusionary politics. It is essential for the new middle class to engage with broader societal issues, bridge gaps with marginalized communities, and work towards inclusive and equitable democratic politics that address the concerns of all sections of society.

Q5. Write an essay on secularism in India.

Ans. Secularism is a foundational principle enshrined in the Constitution of India, reflecting the nation's commitment to religious freedom, equality, and the separation of religion and state. With its diverse religious, cultural, and linguistic fabric, India's practice of secularism holds immense significance in fostering harmony and safeguarding the rights of its citizens. This essay delves into the concept of secularism in India, its historical context, constitutional framework, challenges, and its role in maintaining a pluralistic society.

Historical Context:

India's historical journey has witnessed rich religious and cultural diversity. Ancient India served as a melting pot of various faiths, including Hinduism, Buddhism, Jainism, and Sikhism. Later, Islam arrived in the subcontinent, followed by Christianity and other religions. These historical influences laid the foundation for a pluralistic ethos and the eventual evolution of secularism in India.

Constitutional Framework:

Secularism in India is firmly entrenched in the Indian Constitution. The Preamble declares India as a "sovereign, socialist, secular, and democratic republic," reflecting the significance placed on secular values. The Constitution guarantees citizens the right to freedom of religion, including the freedom to practice, propagate, and profess any religion.

Additionally, the state is mandated to maintain equal respect for all religions and refrain from discriminating against any religious community. The Constitution prohibits the state from establishing or promoting any specific religion, ensuring a clear separation between religion and governance.

Challenges and Debates:

Secularism in India faces various challenges and ongoing debates. One key challenge is the question of uniform civil code, which aims to provide a unified set of laws governing personal matters for all citizens, irrespective of their religious affiliation. Critics argue that the absence of a uniform code perpetuates inequalities between religious communities. However, proponents of cultural and religious diversity maintain that personal laws should respect the distinct traditions and practices of different communities.

Another challenge is the issue of minority rights. While secularism guarantees equal treatment of all religions, critics argue that minority communities, particularly religious minorities, face socio-economic disparities and discrimination. The government's responsibility lies in ensuring their protection, empowerment, and participation in public life, fostering a truly inclusive society.

Role in Fostering Pluralism:

Secularism plays a pivotal role in nurturing the diverse and pluralistic nature of Indian society. By promoting religious freedom and equality, secularism fosters an environment where individuals can freely practice their faith, express their beliefs, and engage in interfaith dialogue. It encourages social harmony, respect for diversity, and the coexistence of different religious and cultural traditions.

Moreover, secularism serves as a check against the dominance of any particular religious group, safeguarding the rights and liberties of all citizens. It ensures that the state does not privilege or favor any specific religion, maintaining a level playing field for all.

Conclusion:

Secularism in India embodies the nation's commitment to diversity, inclusivity, and equality. It is a guiding principle that allows citizens of different religious backgrounds to live harmoniously while respecting each other's beliefs. While challenges and debates persist, secularism remains integral to the Indian ethos, fostering a pluralistic society where every individual can freely practice their faith and contribute to the nation's progress. By upholding the values of secularism, India continues to strive towards a more inclusive, tolerant, and progressive future.

Q6. Write an essay on the evolution of the party system in India.

Ans. India, the world's largest democracy, has witnessed a dynamic and evolving party system since its independence in 1947. The party system plays a crucial role in shaping the political landscape of the country, representing the diverse interests and aspirations of its vast population. Over the years, the party system in India has undergone significant changes, reflecting shifts in social, economic, and political dynamics. This essay explores the evolution of the party system in India, tracing its origins, development, and the challenges it faces today.

Origins and Early Years:

The roots of the party system in India can be traced back to the Indian National Congress (INC), founded in 1885 as a platform to voice the demands for independence from British colonial rule. The INC played a pivotal role in the freedom struggle and subsequently emerged as the dominant political force in post-independence India. During the early years, the party system revolved around the INC, which enjoyed widespread support from various sections of society. This period witnessed a broad-based nationalist consensus, uniting leaders from different regions and ideologies.

Emergence of Alternative Parties:

In the 1960s, the Congress party faced internal divisions, leading to the emergence of alternative parties that sought to challenge its dominance. The first major split within the Congress occurred in 1969 when a faction led by Indira Gandhi broke away to form the Congress (R), later renamed as the Congress (I). This division marked the beginning of a fragmented party system in India, with the Congress (I) and other regional parties gaining prominence. Regional parties started representing

specific linguistic, regional, and caste-based interests, effectively challenging the Congress' pan-Indian appeal.

Coalition Politics and Multi-Party System:

The 1980s and 1990s witnessed a significant transformation in India's party system, marked by the rise of coalition politics and the proliferation of regional and smaller parties. The decline of the Congress party's dominance and the emergence of coalition governments at the national level necessitated alliances and compromises among political parties. This era saw the rise of parties like the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP), Communist Party of India (Marxist), Samajwadi Party, Bahujan Samaj Party, and others, each representing different regional or ideological interests. The multi-party system, with its diverse and fragmented landscape, presented both opportunities and challenges for governance and stability.

Era of Coalition Governments:

The late 1990s and early 2000s witnessed a series of coalition governments, where no single party had a clear majority. These governments required the formation of alliances and consensus-building among parties with diverse ideologies, often leading to policy paralysis and governance challenges. Frequent coalition realignments and unstable governments became a characteristic feature of Indian politics during this period.

Recent Trends and Challenges:

In recent years, the party system in India has experienced further changes. The Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP), a right-wing party, emerged as a dominant force and won a decisive majority in the 2014 and 2019 general elections. The Congress party, which had historically been at the forefront of Indian politics, faced electoral setbacks and struggled to maintain its earlier influence. Regional parties have continued to play a crucial role, especially in state politics, asserting regional aspirations and challenging the dominance of national parties.

Furthermore, the rise of social media and increased access to information has impacted the dynamics of political discourse. Parties have begun employing digital strategies and online campaigning to engage with voters and shape public opinion. The evolving party system in India faces challenges related to identity politics, populism, polarization, and the need for institutional reforms to address issues such as electoral funding, inner-party democracy, and transparency.

Conclusion:

The evolution of the party system in India reflects the country's complex socio-political fabric. From the dominance of the Indian National Congress to the rise of regional and smaller parties, India's party system has witnessed a shift towards a multi-party landscape. Coalition politics, coalition governments, and the recent dominance of the BJP have characterized different phases in India's political journey. As India continues to evolve, the party system must adapt to address the diverse aspirations and challenges of its citizens while upholding democratic values, inclusivity, and effective governance.

Ans. The role of women in the Indian National Movement was significant and multifaceted. Women played crucial roles as activists, leaders, and participants in various capacities. Their contributions to the struggle for independence were instrumental in shaping the movement and paving the way for social and political change. However, it is essential to critically analyze the role of women in the Indian National Movement, considering both their achievements and the challenges they faced.

Active Participation:

Women actively participated in the Indian National Movement at various levels. They organized and participated in protests, boycott movements, and satyagrahas, making substantial contributions to mass mobilization efforts. Women played pivotal roles in campaigns like the Swadeshi Movement, Salt March, and Civil Disobedience Movement, showcasing their dedication and commitment to the cause of independence.

Leadership and Ideological Contributions:

Several women emerged as prominent leaders and thinkers during the Indian National Movement. Sarojini Naidu, Annie Besant, Kamala Nehru, Aruna Asaf Ali, and Kasturba Gandhi were among the notable women who provided intellectual and strategic guidance to the movement. They articulated the aspirations of women and advocated for social and political reforms.

Women's Organizations:

Women's organizations played a crucial role in the National Movement. Organizations like the All India Women's Conference (AIWC), Women's Indian Association (WIA), and All India Mahila Congress were instrumental in raising women's issues, mobilizing women, and promoting women's education and empowerment. These organizations fostered a sense of unity among women and provided a platform for them to voice their concerns and demands.

Struggles for Gender Equality:

The Indian National Movement provided a platform for women to challenge societal norms and advocate for gender equality. Women activists fought against social evils like child marriage, sati, and purdah, emphasizing the need for women's education, empowerment, and equal rights. Women like Pandita Ramabai, Rukmini Devi Arundale, and Begum Rokeya Sakhawat Hossain championed women's rights within the larger struggle for independence.

Limitations and Gender Discrimination:

Despite their active involvement, women faced several challenges and limitations within the National Movement. They encountered patriarchal attitudes and resistance to their leadership roles, both from within the movement and society at large. Women's contributions were often downplayed or overshadowed by male leaders, and they were excluded from key decision-making forums.

Limited Representation:

While women played pivotal roles in the Indian National Movement, their representation in the highest decision-making bodies was limited. They faced barriers in accessing leadership positions and were often relegated to supporting roles. This limited representation hindered the realization of their full potential and the implementation of gender-sensitive policies within the movement.

Conclusion:

The role of women in the Indian National Movement was significant and transformative. Women's contributions extended beyond their participation in protests and movements; they challenged societal norms, fought for gender equality, and worked towards the larger goal of independence. However, their struggle for recognition and equal representation within the movement highlights the persisting challenges of gender discrimination. Recognizing and appreciating the contributions of women in the National Movement is crucial for acknowledging their agency, resilience, and their ongoing struggle for equal rights and empowerment in Indian society.

Q8 Write short notes on any two of the following:

(A) Judicial Activism

Ans. Judicial activism refers to the proactive role played by the judiciary in interpreting and shaping laws and policies, often by going beyond traditional judicial boundaries. It involves judges taking an active stance in addressing social, political, and economic issues, and sometimes even filling gaps left by the legislative and executive branches of government. Judicial activism can be seen as a departure from the more restrained approach of judicial restraint, where judges limit themselves to strictly interpreting laws without delving into policy-making.

Proponents of judicial activism argue that it is necessary for ensuring justice, upholding constitutional rights, and promoting social change. They contend that in situations where the legislature or executive fails to address critical issues or protect minority rights, the judiciary has a duty to step in and provide remedies. Judicial activism is seen as a mechanism for safeguarding individual liberties, ensuring the separation of powers, and maintaining the rule of law.

Critics, on the other hand, raise concerns about the potential dangers of judicial activism. They argue that it encroaches upon the democratic process by giving unelected judges excessive power and influence over policy decisions. Critics claim that it undermines the principle of separation of powers and legislative supremacy, as it may result in judicial overreach and the judiciary making decisions on matters that should be left to elected representatives. They also highlight the risk of judges imposing their personal values and beliefs, potentially ignoring public opinion or legislative intent.

There are various examples of judicial activism in different countries and contexts. For instance, in India, the Supreme Court has been hailed for its activism in cases such as the protection of environmental rights, women's rights, and the enforcement of socio-economic welfare schemes. In the United States, landmark decisions like Brown v. Board of Education, which desegregated schools, and Roe v. Wade, which established abortion rights, are often cited as instances of judicial activism.

It is important to note that the extent and impact of judicial activism vary across jurisdictions, as it depends on the legal and constitutional framework of each country. Some legal systems explicitly provide scope for judicial activism, while others adopt a more conservative approach.

In conclusion, judicial activism is a contested concept that sparks debates about the proper role of the judiciary in a democratic society. While it can serve as a tool for promoting justice, protecting rights, and filling gaps in legislation, it also raises concerns about the balance of power, democratic legitimacy, and the potential for judicial overreach. The discussion around judicial activism continues

to evolve, and its implications for governance and the rule of law warrant ongoing scrutiny and examination.

(B) NITI Aayog

Ans. NITI Aayog, short for National Institution for Transforming India, is a policy think tank and a government agency in India. It was established on January 1, 2015, to replace the erstwhile Planning Commission. NITI Aayog functions as a platform for formulating and implementing policies and programs aimed at fostering sustainable development and inclusive growth in the country.

Key Functions and Objectives of NITI Aayog:

Policy Formulation and Strategic Planning: NITI Aayog is responsible for formulating long-term strategic plans, policies, and programs for various sectors of the economy. It conducts research, analysis, and evaluation of policies to provide evidence-based recommendations to the government.

Cooperative Federalism: NITI Aayog aims to promote cooperative federalism by fostering close collaboration and consultation between the central government and state governments. It provides a platform for discussions and consultations with state governments to address their specific developmental needs and challenges.

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): NITI Aayog is entrusted with the task of coordinating and monitoring the implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in India. It sets targets, tracks progress, and provides policy guidance to achieve the SDGs, covering areas such as poverty alleviation, healthcare, education, gender equality, and environmental sustainability.

Monitoring and Evaluation: NITI Aayog monitors and evaluates the progress of various government schemes, initiatives, and programs. It assesses their impact, identifies gaps and challenges, and suggests corrective measures for effective implementation.

Innovation and Entrepreneurship: NITI Aayog promotes innovation, entrepreneurship, and technology-driven solutions to address socio-economic challenges. It supports initiatives like the Atal Innovation Mission, Start-up India, and the establishment of Atal Incubation Centers to foster a culture of innovation and entrepreneurship in the country.

Special Focus Initiatives: NITI Aayog identifies areas and sectors that require special attention and focuses on their development. It has launched several initiatives such as Aspirational Districts Programme, Digital India, Make in India, and Smart Cities Mission to drive transformative changes and inclusive growth in those areas.

NITI Aayog's role is not limited to policy formulation; it actively engages with various stakeholders, including government ministries, civil society organizations, academia, and private sector entities, to promote collaborative decision-making and implementation. It strives to create a platform for participatory governance, where diverse perspectives and inputs are considered in the policy-making process.

However, it is important to note that NITI Aayog operates within the broader framework of the Indian government, and its recommendations are subject to the government's discretion for final decision-making and implementation.

In conclusion, NITI Aayog serves as a key institution in India's policy landscape, focusing on strategic planning, policy formulation, and sustainable development. By fostering cooperative federalism, promoting innovation, and monitoring progress towards developmental goals, NITI Aayog plays a vital role in shaping India's socio-economic policies and driving inclusive growth.

(C) Right to Freedom

Ans. The right to freedom is a fundamental human right that encompasses various individual liberties and protections. It is a crucial aspect of democratic societies, ensuring that individuals have the autonomy and agency to express themselves, participate in decision-making, and live their lives without undue interference. The right to freedom is often enshrined in constitutions and international human rights instruments, recognizing its significance in upholding human dignity and fostering a just and inclusive society.

The right to freedom encompasses several interconnected freedoms, including:

Freedom of Speech and Expression: This right protects an individual's ability to express their opinions, thoughts, and ideas freely, without fear of censorship or punishment. It includes the freedom of the press, the right to peacefully protest, and the right to access information.

Freedom of Religion: Individuals have the right to practice, profess, and propagate their religion or belief system of choice. This right ensures religious freedom and protects individuals from discrimination based on their religious beliefs or non-belief.

Freedom of Assembly and Association: This right guarantees the freedom to assemble peacefully and form associations or organizations. It enables individuals to come together, voice their concerns, and collectively advocate for their interests.

Freedom of Movement: Individuals have the right to move freely within their country and, in some cases, the right to travel abroad. Freedom of movement is crucial for personal autonomy, accessing opportunities, and pursuing one's chosen way of life.

Freedom from Arbitrary Arrest and Detention: This right protects individuals from being arrested or detained without just cause or due process. It ensures that individuals are protected from arbitrary exercise of power by the state and guarantees their right to a fair trial.

Freedom of Thought, Conscience, and Belief: This right safeguards an individual's freedom to form opinions, hold beliefs, and have personal convictions. It includes the right to question, explore, and challenge established ideas and norms.

It is important to note that the right to freedom is not absolute and may be subject to certain restrictions, such as national security, public order, and protection of the rights and freedoms of others. However, any restrictions imposed must be reasonable, proportionate, and in accordance with the principles of law and international human rights standards.

The right to freedom is essential for the functioning of a democratic society, ensuring individual autonomy, protecting diversity, and fostering a culture of open dialogue and debate. It empowers individuals to actively engage in civic and political life, contributing to the development of inclusive and participatory societies. Safeguarding and promoting the right to freedom is crucial for upholding human rights, democracy, and the rule of law.

(D) Article 356

Ans. Article 356 of the Indian Constitution, often referred to as "President's Rule," provides the President of India with the authority to impose central control over a state government in case of constitutional breakdown or failure of the constitutional machinery. This article is a provision for the imposition of President's Rule in a state by suspending or dissolving the state government and placing it under the direct control of the President through the Governor.

Key features and provisions of Article 356:

Grounds for Imposition: President's Rule can be imposed in a state if the President is satisfied that a situation has arisen in which the government of the state cannot be carried on in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution. This includes situations like the breakdown of law and order, political instability, failure of the state government to fulfill constitutional obligations, or situations where the state government is unable to function effectively.

Governor's Report: Before imposing President's Rule, the Governor of the state is required to submit a report to the President, explaining the reasons and justifications for such action. The report must be based on the Governor's assessment of the prevailing situation in the state.

Parliament Approval: The proclamation of President's Rule must be approved by both houses of Parliament within two months of its issuance. If approved, President's Rule can continue for a maximum period of six months. However, if the situation necessitates an extension beyond six months, approval must be obtained for every additional period of six months.

State Assembly Status: During President's Rule, the powers of the state government are transferred to the President or any person or authority appointed by the President. The state assembly is either suspended or dissolved, and the Parliament assumes legislative powers for the state.

Revocation: President's Rule can be revoked at any time by the President or by a subsequent resolution passed by both houses of Parliament. If a state assembly election takes place during President's Rule and a party or coalition commands a majority, the President's Rule is automatically lifted, and the elected government takes charge.

Criticism and Controversies:

Misuse of Power: Article 356 has been subject to criticism for its potential misuse by the central government to impose its will on states and destabilize opposition governments. It has been alleged that political considerations, rather than constitutional breakdown, have influenced the imposition of President's Rule in certain instances.

Undermining Federalism: Critics argue that President's Rule undermines the principle of federalism, as it allows the central government to supersede elected state governments and exercise direct control. This has raised concerns regarding the erosion of states' autonomy and the imbalance of power between the center and the states.

Delayed Judicial Review: The power to impose President's Rule lies solely with the executive branch, and the judicial review of such action is limited. In some cases, the courts have taken considerable time to review the validity of President's Rule, resulting in prolonged central control over a state without adequate checks and balances.

Conclusion:

Article 356, or President's Rule, is a constitutional provision aimed at addressing situations of constitutional breakdown in a state. While it provides a mechanism to restore order and stability, its application and implementation have been a subject of debate and controversy. Balancing the need for central intervention with the principles of federalism, democratic values, and the protection of state autonomy is essential to ensure the fair and judicious use of President's Rule in India.

